

1. INTRODUCTIONS	5 min
a. David Gough, EPPI-Centre, UK	
2. COVID-END LOGIC MODEL	
a. Logic model presentation (see attachment 2) David Gough walked through the various components of the logic model b. Discuss the following: i. Does the logic model make sense from the working group's perspective? The most valuable strengths of COVID-END is the multisectoral approach (public health, clinical management, health system arrangements and economic and social response), and that's within the long-	

iv. Are there any early indications or examples for the short-term outcomes and long-term influences? If so, can these be packaged as ‘success stories’, which will help COVID-END’s business case and liaising with funders • Success stories include: ○ Resources and guidance for researchers and knowledge producers that is on the COVID-END website along with diagram and schematic ○ Conversations around equity which led to the formation of an equity subgroup that will draw on and work on all of the working groups ○ Addressing the issue of quality of rapid reviews • The diversity of experiences and the amount of data that exists in which global partnership allows partners to be exposed to other disciplines and the other syntheses and work v. Are there any emergent systems and methods within existing institutions and processes that can be reflected in the model? vi. Eventually we would like working groups to think beyond COVID. Thinking about the logical model can be a way to start this conversation about any tasks/roles/projects that could continue past COVID-END. This conversation could be about individual groups and also about how they all function together (in the logic model) to enable the use of evidence (in evidence ecosystem) • Further work on the duplication of efforts and of synthesis /rapid review • Through core funding, COVID-END can be sustained and it would be provide a useful platform for all evidence synthesis groups and may strengthen links with primary trial groups as next steps • The diversity of experiences and the amount of data that exists in which global partnership allows partners to be exposed to other disciplines and the other syntheses and work	
3. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS a. Review notes and action items from last meeting (see attachment 3) Safa to prompt members of the Doctor Evidence again and if they have any revisions for the resources page • ACTION: All members to review DoctorEvidence’s COVID resources and share any comments with Safa who will compile comments, considering the resources already in the guide b. Review notes and action items from joint meeting (see attachment 4)	15 min
4. WORKING GROUP MEETING DATE AND TIME	5 min

a. <i>Re-scheduling the Synthesizing WG meeting times: 9am EST?</i> • <i>Need to decide as a group whether our next meeting is Synthesizing or joint meeting</i> • <i>Group agreed to have a monthly joint working group meeting</i> • ACTION: Safa to circulate an email to the whole group indicating that the next meeting will be on the week of October 19 • ACTION: David and Safa to decide on meeting times based on the Doodle poll circulated	
--	--

5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- a. *Weeks with no COVID-END scheduled meetings:***
i.