1. INTRODUCTIONS

David welcomed Dr. Brenda Kawala, African Centre for Systematic Reviews and Knowledge Translation, Uganda who is currently a graduate student at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden.

Also participating in call: Cristian Mansilla David Tovey Edoardo Aromataris Elie Akl Gabriel Rada Kamga Emmanuel Karla Soares-Weiser Taryn Young

Secretariat: Anna Dion and Safa Al-Khateeb

- may require greater judgment by assessor. Happy to contribute, share and collaborate with inventory development!
- O David suggested identifying ways to also include systematic reviews developed as part of guideline development process (that are rarely in public domain). John highlighted that he continues to liaise with Julian Elliott and others in guideline space about making evidence profiles for living reviews publicly accessible.!
- o Elie made the following three comments and suggstions:1) living (or recency) does not capture whether the review includes all relevant studies and pointed to the potential to use something like Epistemonikos' matrix tool to assess study inclusion; 2) raised issue of relevance of systematic reviews to decision-maker questions, highlighting difference between PICO questions of reviews and those of the decision-makers; and 3) assessing concordance across different systematic reviews!
- John shared that systematic reviews in health and social systems, economic interventions often have do not have definitive answers or are less amenable to meta-analysis, making concordance assessment challenging in this domain (though not impossible)!
- Use of declarative statements in REPs and in title column of taxonomy inventory meant as a navigation tool (with link directly to study) to help decision-makers identify evidence most relevant evidence to their question of interest (including statement describing nature of studies and where relevant!

4. IDENTIFYING NEXT PRIORITIES IN TERMS OF REFERENCE

Terms of reference

- Contribute to the development and maintenance of the resources and tools for researchers considering and conducting COVID-19 evidence syntheses and encouraging its use by researchers and evidence users to avoid unnecessary duplication
- 2. Encourage updating or extending existing reviews in conjunction with other interested groups within and beyond COVID-END
- 3. Share evidence tables that can be used in local guideline-developmentIIENCE

- Shared a JCE paper lead by Andrea Rapid review methods more challenging during COVID-19: Commentary with a focus on 8 knowledge synthesis steps https://www.jclinepi.com/article/S0895-4356(20)30616-8/pdf
- Discussion will continue at next working group meeting!

4. ANY OTHER BUSINESS