

1. INTRODUCTIONS <i>a. Welcoming new working group members</i> <i>i. Airton Stein, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Brazil</i> Participants: Airton Stein Birte Snilstveit David Gough Gabriel Rada Jeremy Grimshaw Kayongo Edward Lucy Nakibuuka Ocan Moses Safa Al-Khateeb	3 min
2. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS <i>Not applicable</i>	min
3. COVID-END COMMENTARY ON LMIC PERSPECTIVES <i>a. Updates on draft paper</i> <i>Ruth circulated a draft last week to Jeremy and Lucy</i> <i>Approached Lancet editor Jocalyn Clark, who had stated that currently there are a lot of papers being submitted and had advised that COVID-END submit the paper regardless!</i> <i>b. Next steps</i> <i>ACTION: Jeremy to work on the draft with Ruth for this week and circulate it back to authors / partners that are involved</i> <i>ACTION: Submit to Lancet</i> <i>Paper will have group authorship from LMIC partners that provided contributions / perspectives</i>	25 min
4. DISCUSSION OF COVID-END MID-TERM PROJECTS <i>a. Sustainability of COVID-END in the next phase</i> <i>Gone through the first wave of COVID-19 globally, and there has been a significant upsurge in evidence synthesis and duplication of efforts and rapid reviews.</i>	30 min

- *During the first phase of COVID-19, COVID-END was trying to get different groups /organisations at the table and coordinate work that includes inventory of resources, packaging of resources to inform decision-making and sharing those resources between partners*
- *In the next phase, is there an approach that reduces the noise in the evidence synthesis community and ensure better coordination of better quality syntheses at the international level*
Working Group members to think about how Scoping can work with partners to try and identify priorities for living reviews