1. PARTICIPATING IN CALL!

Alric Ruther

David Tovey

Ivan Florez

Jerry Osheroff Ludovic Reveiz

Michael McCaul

Mireille Goetghebeur

Newman Dieyi

Nichole Taske

Per Olav Vandvik

Sandra Zelman Lewis

Xuan Yu

Secretariat: Anna Dion, David Tovey, Safa Al-Khateeb!

- Week 2: discuss evidence and make recommendations. !
- Week 2-4: goes to peer review, open access in pre-prints and in MAGIC app to support access and public comments, adaptation across to other countries and health systems!
- NMA helpful to assess comparative effectiveness, as rarely find a review that answers the questions of the guideline developers!
- Core platforms and players include WHO, Norway (through national HTA system)!
- In Norway, recommendations separate process from HTA (though do share reports)!
- Potential to coordinate HTA into this process. National HTAs informed by living NMA, informs selection of outcomes!
- Jerry and Per agreed that interests overlap with ACTS focus on living clinical decision support project as conceptually related processes!
- Important to coordinate across different questions and topics, as well as evidence and guidance.!
- Each country wants to develop own review; but collaboration in use of reviews; many different SRs, published across platforms, with different standards. Effort around coordination in production. (many focus on few questions) don't feel that addressing duplication at that point!
- Opportunity for coordination in identifying where people can get resources around living guidelines!
 - Australian living guidelines (https://covid19evidence.net.au) Structured evidence

- Currently identified 150 guidelines, collaborating with group in Middle East to assess quality!
- Currently focused on the 30-35 guidelines for critical care management!
- Newman leading quality assessment effort!
- 5. DEVELOPING A DOCUMENT OF COVID-RELATED GUIDELINES AND GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT
 - a. Develop a resource and tool document similar to that prepared by Synthesizing Working Group from items 1, 2 and