

1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS

- a. Maureen pointed working group members to the notes and action items from our last meeting (see attachment 2)*

2. COVID-END LOGIC MODEL

- a. David Gough introduced the logic model (see attachment 3)*
- b. Working group members discussed the following questions:*
 - i. Does the logic model make sense from the working group's perspective?*
 - a. Consider removing or changing the locations of the arrows*

vi. Are there any tasks/roles/projects that the working group is undertaking that can continue past COVID-END? a. COVID-END Community listserv and webinars b. Inventory-type activities to flag duplication, quality challenges, updating challenges, etc. (so the evidence synthesis community can learn and improve based on actual performance data) c. Horizon scanning to (continue to) hear from consumers, providers and policymakers d. List of priority topics where evidence syntheses are needed vii. Other points a. Maureen raised a broader point about who we invite to become partners and whether the existing process may be seen as exclusionary
3. UPDATE ON MEMBERSHIP AND ENGAGEMENT i. Janine provided an update on listserv membership and numbers (see attachment 3) From the listerv data, membership is now at 283 and continuing to rise ii. Janine provided an update on membership survey results a. 33% response rate b. Most well represented groups are academic organizations (53%) and government departments (21%) c. Most well represented regions are PAHO, AFRO and then EURO d. Most are very experienced (25%) or experienced (35%) e. Most heard about the COVID-END community from a professional contact (46%) f. Professional roles span the gamut of scopes covered by the COVID-END working groups, with some clustering in recommending and packaging (although there is some differentiation of roles by region) i. Sandy Oliver noted that these different profiles suggest the regions may have different contributions to make, not just different needs to be met g. Topics of interest are diverse but with public-health measures of most interest across the four parts of the COVID-END taxonomy and with evidence synthesis of most interest among evidence-related roles
4. PRIORITY QUESTIONS FOR HEALTH SYSTEM REVIEWS i. Maureen shared a question from the EPOC review group: “Over the next 12/24 months in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic, what do you see as likely to be the 5 most critical or important health systems questions for which evidence will be needed to inform actions at national and international levels?” ii. John shared the list of priority topics emerging from the horizon-scanning panel's work a. Managing vaccine distribution allocation and approaches under shortage conditions, leveraging vaccine trust and addressing vaccine hesitancy, and capturing lessons learned from roll-outs

- b. Approaches to strategic purchasing of supplies and equipment (e.g., personal protective equipment and liquid nitrogen for vaccine storage) that balance accountabilities up & out**
- c. Responsive and agile**
- i. Restoration of non-COVID services when possible (by developing or capitalizing on 'slack' within health systems)**
- ii. Efforts to address health human resource**