

1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS <i>a. Additional comments</i> <i>b. Review previous meeting notes and actions from August 28th</i>	5 min
2. USE CASE TABLE <i>a. Any additions or updates</i> • <i>Group discussed document shared by Linn, complementarities and differences from other user case table being used by secretariat (focused on users)</i> • <i>Some thoughts that ‘higher level’ vision for this table should be anchoring this on how we can help people conceptualize whether /how existing tools, resources are interoperable, how to ‘connect the dots’</i> • <i>Need to clarify who would use this, how it would help to achieve this ultimate goal</i> <i>i. One key use would be for repository actors to see where /how their existing technologies align with /could be shared with others</i> • <i>Linn asked folks to add additional pieces that they feel are key (noting that Brian did add aspects from COKA that are likely key for folks to consider) and delete others</i> <i>i. E.g., factors that make different repositories compatible</i> <i>ii. Need to consider what the ‘key’ aspects are that make things interoperable rather than include everything under the sun</i> • <i>Consider highlighting where links are made /close to being made</i> • <i>Also need to highlight other areas where they don’t exist but are needed</i> • <i>ACTION: Everyone to read Linn’s table and suggest edits for future discussion (standards and frameworks as rows, suggest other edits using strikethrough)</i> • <i>ACTION: Linn/others to articulate inclusion criteria for table</i> <i>b. Discuss mapping features as contributing pieces to the evidence ecosystem (e.g. using alpha-numeric markers to an evidence ecosystem diagram)</i>	30 min
3. ‘ENHANCE MY RIS FILE’ PROJECT	